

EPPO Datasheet: *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*

Last updated: 2021-06-02

IDENTITY

Preferred name: *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*

Authority: Takikawa, Serizawa, Ichikawa, Tsuyumu & Goto

Taxonomic position: Bacteria: Proteobacteria:

Gammaproteobacteria: Pseudomonadales: Pseudomonadaceae

Common names: bacterial canker of kiwi fruit

[view more common names online...](#)

EPPO Categorization: A2 list

[view more categorizations online...](#)

EU Categorization: Emergency measures (formerly), RNQP (Annex IV)

EPPO Code: PSDMAK



[more photos...](#)

Notes on taxonomy and nomenclature

Comparative analysis of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* strains isolated in different geographical areas worldwide revealed that this pathovar is characterized by a number of distinct genetic lineages, giving rise to 5 biovars (biovars 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6) (Chapman *et al.*, 2012; Sawada *et al.*, 2014; Sawada *et al.*, 2016). Biovar 1 and 2 are described as moderately aggressive and were both reported affecting *Actinidia* spp. in the 1980-90s, the former in Japan, South Korea and Italy, the latter in South Korea (Serizawa *et al.*, 1989; Scortichini, 1994; Sawada and Fujikawa, 2019). Biovar 3, which is highly pathogenic, is the lineage responsible for the worldwide pandemics; biovar 3 has been diversifying for a long time in China and, in addition to the pandemic lineage, it exists in diverse native strains in several Chinese provinces (Butler *et al.*, 2013; McCann *et al.*, 2017). Biovar 5 (Sawada *et al.*, 2014) and biovar 6 (Sawada *et al.*, 2016) are described as weakly pathogenic bacteria and reported in two Japanese Prefectures. The formerly known biovar 4 of *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae*, has since been transferred into a new pathovar, named *P. syringae* pv. *actinidifoliorum* (Cunty *et al.*, 2015).

HOSTS


The most important host plants affected by *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* belong to the genus *Actinidia*. In particular, the cultivated *A. chinensis* and *A. deliciosa* cultivars are considered as major hosts (Serizawa *et al.* 1989; Fang *et al.*, 1990). Differences in host plant susceptibility are reported for different *Actinidia* species, or different cultivars belonging to the same species (Perez *et al.*, 2019; Donati *et al.*, 2020). In general, *A. chinensis* (the yellow-fleshed kiwifruit) is far more susceptible than *A. deliciosa* (the green-fleshed kiwifruit). Other wild or ornamental *Actinidia* species, such as *A. arguta* or *A. kolomikta*, are considered as minor host plants (Ushiyama *et al.*, 1992a, 1992b). Recently, three non-kiwifruit species, *Alternanthera philoxeroides*, *Paulownia tomentosa* and *Setaria viridis*, have been reported as incidental host plants for *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae*. These plant species displayed necrotic spots on leaves and were grown in proximity to kiwifruit orchards severely affected by bacterial canker (Liu *et al.*, 2016).

Host list: *Actinidia arguta*, *Actinidia chinensis*, *Actinidia deliciosa*, *Actinidia kolomikta*, *Actinidia*, *Alternanthera philoxeroides*, *Broussonetia papyrifera*, *Paulownia tomentosa*, *Setaria viridis*

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION

The bacterial canker of kiwifruit was first observed in Japan in the late 1980s on *Actinidia* spp. (Serizawa *et al.*, 1989; Takikawa *et al.*, 1989) and, later, in South Korea (1988) (Koh *et al.*, 1994): in both countries, it was considered as a limiting factor for the production of kiwifruits. A few years later, the pathogen was reported in China (Wang *et al.*, 1992). In the EPPO region, *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* was observed for the first time in 1992 in Central Italy (Scortichini, 1994). More than a decade later, severe disease outbreaks were repeatedly observed in Italy in the

summer 2007 and in the following years, giving rise to massive crop losses (Balestra *et al.*, 2009; Scortichini *et al.*, 2012). The bacterial populations causing such outbreaks were genetically different from those previously recorded in Italy, Japan, South Korea and China. Later, several outbreaks of the disease were reported in Turkey in 2009, in France and Portugal in 2010, in Spain and Switzerland in 2011, in Slovenia and in Georgia in 2013, in Greece in 2014. Outside the EPPO region, the pathogen continues to be present in several provinces of China, in many prefectures of Japan and in South Korea. In New Zealand *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* was first detected in 2010, then rapidly spread throughout the country, whereas in Australia the pathogen, first detected in 2011, still has a very limited distribution in Victoria (EPPO, 2011). Finally, the bacterium has a restricted distribution in Chile, where it was first recorded in 2010 (ProMed, 2010). In Argentina, the pathogen was found on kiwifruit pollen produced in the Mar del Plata region (Balestra *et al.*, 2018), but it has not been detected in kiwifruit orchards (Sánchez *et al.*, 2018).



EPPO Region: France (mainland, Corse), Greece (mainland), Italy (mainland), Portugal (mainland), Slovenia, Spain (mainland), Switzerland, Türkiye

Asia: China (Anhui, Chongqing, Fujian, Guangdong, Guangxi, Guizhou, Hebei, Henan, Hubei, Hunan, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, Shaanxi, Shandong, Shanghai, Shanxi, Sichuan, Yunnan, Zhejiang), Japan (Hokkaido, Honshu, Kyushu, Shikoku), Korea, Republic of

South America: Chile

Oceania: Australia (Victoria), New Zealand

BIOLOGY

Bacterial canker is the most important limiting factor in the cultivation and production of kiwifruit (Kim *et al.*, 2017). *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* overwinters in cankers that are formed on trunks, along the leaders (cordons) and on canes. In winter, symptomless plants may also harbour the pathogen latently inside the vascular tissue (Minardi *et al.*, 2019). In late winter or early spring (February to March in the Mediterranean area), bacteria start to multiply in diseased tissues and pale, milky droplets of bacterial ooze start to exude from cankers or other lesions, such as pruning cuts. Bacterial exudates are the primary inoculum in infected orchards and these start the first seasonal infection cycle. Sap from infected, but symptomless plants exuding from pruning cuts in springtime also represent a pathway for pathogen spread inside the orchards (Biondi *et al.*, 2013). High humidity, rain and showers favour the dispersal of bacterial cells that may contaminate the developing buds, shoots, leaves, and flowers. Frost events correlate positively with the occurrence of bacterial canker: indeed, frost injuries provide the pathogen with additional penetration sites and enable colonization, multiplication and dispersal of inocula (Serizawa *et al.*, 1989; Ferrante *et al.*, 2012; Ferrante and Scortichini, 2014). Penetration into the host plants happens via natural openings (stomata and lenticels) or lesions (mainly hail wounds and pruning cuts). Flowers are very prone to infections and pollen is easily contaminated by the pathogen, thus serving as an additional pathway for pathogen dispersal (Stefani

and Giovanardi, 2011; Vanneste *et al.*, 2011). *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* has an optimum temperature range between 15-22°C: therefore, the disease rapidly progresses until early summer (Serizawa and Ichigawa, 1993). Then, the pathogen aestivates in the vascular tissue of its hosts. In non-conductive conditions, vascular colonization of *Actinidia* plants may also proceed for some years, without the development of symptoms (Minardi *et al.*, 2019). As is the case for several other *P. syringae* pvs, *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* easily survives as an epiphyte in infected orchards during spring and summer, both on its host plants and on several weeds, among them the stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*), amaranths (*Amaranthus* spp.) or the common mallow (*Malva sylvestris*) (Stefani and Giovanardi, 2011; Tontou *et al.*, 2013). On kiwifruits, *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* may also survive epiphytically until summer: populations then decrease, being already undetectable a few weeks before harvesting (Stefani and Giovanardi, 2011; Minardi *et al.*, 2011). Thus, kiwifruits do not represent a pathway for pathogen dissemination.

DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION

Symptoms

P. syringae pv. *actinidiae* may cause symptoms on any aerial part of its host plants: trunk, leaders, canes, leaves, flowers, fruits. Cankers are formed on lignified plant parts following the penetration of the pathogen through lenticels or lesions, such as pruning cuts or hail wounds. In late winter, cankers are moist and exude bacterial ooze together with plant sap; plant exudates are initially creamy whitish, later turning yellowish or yellow-orange, then reddish to brown (Serizawa *et al.*, 1989; Balestra *et al.*, 2009). Saprophytes (bacteria and yeasts) may develop on exudates, thus producing colour variations even in the same orchard. Active cankers may also appear along canes: diseased canes also exude bacterial ooze when cut. After debarking, the affected wood appears reddish-brown, with diseased areas developing through the healthy tissue. Infected canes develop shoots that, later, wilt and desiccate. Extensive dieback of twigs and vines are common, with abundant leaf fall, whereas the developing fruits remains tenaciously attached to the vines, eventually rotting and/or drying. On leaves, tiny angular and water-soaked lesions may develop early in the season, later necrotizing and developing confluent necrosis: chlorotic haloes may surround the developing lesions. Flowers and flower buds may darken, dry and fall off (Serizawa *et al.*, 1989; Balestra *et al.*, 2009). On flower buds, flowers and leaves similar lesions are also produced by other phytopathogenic pseudomonads, such as *P. syringae* pv. *syringae*, *P. syringae* pv. *actinidifoliorum* and *P. viridiflava*. In other cases, wilting and death of growing shoots, together with the development of necrotic cores at the base of the sprouting buds, are not caused by *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae*, but may be due to frost injuries caused by the presence of ice nucleating bacteria or due to some physiological disorder.

Affected fruitlets are misshapen, smaller in size than healthy fruits and may develop a necrotic apex; they usually fall during late spring or early summer or are manually detached and thrown away during fruit thinning. Fruits may collapse as a consequence of wilting of branches; wilted fruits are not marketable.

Morphology

P. syringae pv. *actinidiae* is a Gram negative, aerobic, motile, rod-shaped bacterium with polar flagella and it is approximately 2-2.5 x 0.5-0.8 µm in size. It forms small, smooth pearly-whitish, circular colonies that are elevated or convex on nutrient-sucrose-agar medium (NSA) and flat on King's B medium (KB). *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* colonies usually do not produce a fluorescent pigment on KB, although Everett *et al.* (2011) reported that some isolates fluoresce on that medium. Fluorescence production appears quite a useful tool to discriminate *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* from *P. syringae* pv. *syringae*, a fluorescent phytopathogenic bacterium that may be also found on diseased and healthy *Actinidia* spp. as well. *P. viridiflava* is easily discriminated from *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae*, since its colonies produce a distinctive blue-green pigment when grown on NSA medium.

Detection and inspection methods

P. syringae pv. *actinidiae* can be detected on both symptomatic and asymptomatic plant material. The EPPO Standard 7/120 (2) describes the diagnostic protocol to detect, isolate, identify and characterize the pathogen in plant various material, including pollen.

Inspections are necessary to monitor the presence of *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* in nursery stocks, in pollen lots, in kiwifruit orchards. EFSA described the key elements to design a pest survey on the pathogen, defining the target

population, the epidemiological unit and the inspection unit for EU countries (EFSA, 2020). Inspections are planned to enable detection of typical disease symptoms and/or to collect plant material for analysis. The most suitable periods to perform inspections in orchards are: i) late winter/early spring, in order to easily identify and collect tissues from oozing cankers; ii) early summer, in order to observe the disease developing on leaves and shoots and, consequently, collect plant material for analysis. Inspection planned in late winter/early spring may be useful to observe and collect plant sap bleeding from pruning cuts. In orchards, where the pathogen has not been observed, sampling and analysis of plant sap might help enable early detection of the pathogen, therefore allowing immediate action prior to the first disease cycle. Inspections should also be conducted in orchards of male plants for pollen production: in such a case, a late-winter inspection is needed to confirm the absence of any symptom that might indicate the possible presence of the pathogen, e.g. cankers. Finally, inspections and sample collection should also be conducted to check the phytosanitary status of nursery stock and issue phytosanitary certificates and/or plant-passports for propagation material. In such a case, an aggregate sample for analysis is composed of 100 vitroplants, representing a lot up to 10 000 plants, taken prior their acclimatization period, or 30 plantlets from acclimatization premises.

PATHWAYS FOR MOVEMENT

Two main pathways are recognized for short to long distance movement of *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae*: nursery stock (i.e. plants for planting excluding seed), such as rooted micropropagated cuttings, and pollen (Stefani and Giovanardi, 2011; Tontou *et al.*, 2013; Kim *et al.*, 2017; Balestra *et al.*, 2018). Micropropagation is, in general, an effective technique to ensure that plant material produced is free of this pest; nevertheless, rooted cuttings may become infected at a later stage during the production cycle, e.g. during their acclimatization under tunnels or in the open. *Actinidia* spp. are dioecious species and, therefore, the male and female reproductive structures are on separate plants. Mechanical pollination is a common practice during the management of kiwifruit orchards to improve fruit weight and quality, and approx. 400-500 grams of pollen are applied per ha through dusting or spraying under the canopy (Galliano *et al.*, 2008). Additionally, flower colonization by *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* from infected pollen has been proven to be very effective (Donati *et al.*, 2018). Since *Actinidia* pollen is a marketed commodity worldwide, this pathway should not be neglected (MAF, 2011; EPPO 2012) and might have the same pathogen dissemination potential as the micropropagated cuttings (Stefani and Giovanardi, 2011; Kim *et al.*, 2017). Seeds and fruits are not a pathway.

Short distance movement of *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* is ensured by infected pollen, wind driven rain splash, showers, irrigation, pruning tools, and several human activities inside the kiwifruit orchard (e.g. curving down canes and tying them onto trellis, fruit thinning and picking, pruning). Pollinators appear to have a negligible role in pathogen dissemination.

PEST SIGNIFICANCE

Economic impact

In 2019, China was the leading producer of kiwifruit in terms of production volume (2 035 160 tonnes), followed by Italy (562 190 tonnes) and New Zealand (414 260 tonnes) (Shahbandeh, 2020). *Actinidia chinensis* and *A. deliciosa*, when infected with *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* biovar 3 in particular, develop abundant lesions and cankers and eventually die. In particular, some yellow-fleshed cultivars, such as Hort16A and JinTao that are recognized to be highly susceptible, may die within 1-2 seasons. Therefore, this disease is considered the greatest challenge in kiwifruit production (Vanneste, 2017; CABI, 2019). Potential crop losses in New Zealand were estimated to be 310 to 410 million EUR, from 2013 to 2018 (Khandan *et al.*, 2013). In Italy, in 2010, crop losses exceeded 60 million EUR and, during the following years, yield reduction dropped by approximately 43%. The prompt introduction of specific phytosanitary measures, together with an increased knowledge of disease epidemiology, the replacement of the most susceptible cultivars of *A. chinensis* by new tolerant genotypes, and a tailored disease management reduced the economic impact of the disease which is, nowadays, of much less concern than a few years ago.

Control

The official definition of areas with different phytosanitary status, together with the implementation of inspections and certification schemes, allowed the risk associated with both recognized pathways (*i.e.* nursery stock and pollen) to be reduced. The enormous influence that *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* pandemic had on the kiwifruit industry in the main production areas worldwide activated several research programmes devoted to developing and implementing control strategies based on different approaches. These are: i) orchard management through the optimization of cultural practices; ii) chemical and biological control options; iii) breeding programmes for the selection of tolerant/resistant cultivars.

Cultural control

The bacterial canker of kiwifruit is a polycyclic disease: therefore, reduction of primary and secondary inocula are key to successful management. Additionally, *Pseudomonas syringae* pvs. infections are strongly influenced by external environmental conditions, such as air humidity, temperature and microbiota that live on healthy plants (Xin *et al.*, 2018). Good hygiene practices play a pivotal role in reducing bacteria populations, e.g. through removal and destruction of any symptomatic plant material, pruning excess vegetation, regular disinfection of any pruning tools, weed management and reduction of relative humidity inside orchards (especially those under hail nets) through pruning of green vines (Vanneste *et al.*, 2011). Large pruning cuts (over 2-3 cm) should be treated with a disinfection paste (e.g. containing copper salts). Drip irrigation should be preferred in place of sprinkler irrigation, or any other irrigation system that causes a prolonged wetting of the canopy. Efficient soil drainage should be ensured. Finally, excessive nitrogen fertilization should be avoided, since it increases the susceptibility of kiwiplants to this pathogen (Monchiero *et al.*, 2015). Since mechanical pollination is a common practice to produce high quality fruits, dust pollination is preferable to wet pollination, since the use of water to suspend and spray pollen in kiwifruit orchards creates micro-climatic conditions under the canopy that favour pathogen survival and its penetration into the host plants through stomata and lenticels. Although kiwifruit cultivars with known resistance to *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* are not yet available, a few tolerant varieties are currently present on the market; furthermore, a number of breeding programmes are currently devoted to developing new cultivars with additional tolerance/resistance traits (Tahir *et al.*, 2019). Possible sources of tolerance/resistance that might be exploited in breeding programmes are currently being sought in *A. arguta* germplasm (Nunes da Silva *et al.*, 2020).

Chemical control

Chemical control of *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* is difficult, especially in rainy and humid areas, and should be done together with cultural control, as described above. Chemical options for effective control are based on copper formulations and, where allowed, antibiotics, such as streptomycin or kasugamycin (Vanneste *et al.*, 2011). Copper compounds are recommended after fruit harvest and winter pruning, to disinfect wounds on plants, and at bud break, to limit the quantity and the dissemination of primary inoculum. Post-flowering sprays are suggested before major rain events (Vanneste *et al.*, 2011; Monchiero *et al.*, 2015). To reduce the input of copper in orchards, treatments with acybenzolar-S-methyl may also be used in combination with reduced copper quantities (Monchiero *et al.*, 2015).

Biological control

The need to reduce copper inputs into agricultural environments and the development of isolates resistant to copper (Colombi *et al.*, 2017) or to streptomycin (Han *et al.*, 2004), led to the implementation of biological control with microbial biocontrol agents. These comprise: yeasts (de Jong *et al.*, 2019), bacteria (Tontou *et al.*, 2016), bacteriophages (Frampton *et al.*, 2014) or natural substances (Balestra, 2007). The yeast *Aureobasidium pullulans* significantly reduced the disease, especially in combination with acybenzolar-S-methyl (de Jong *et al.*, 2019). Several bacterial epiphytes and endophytes proved to be active *in vitro* and *in vivo* against *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* (Tountu *et al.*, 2016): among the several bacterial species studied, *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* had the best performance (Biondi *et al.*, 2012; Daranas *et al.*, 2018; Purahong *et al.*, 2018). Products based on microbial antagonists are commercially available and are currently authorized as biocontrol agents during flowering.

Phytosanitary risk

P. syringae pv. *actinidiae* is considered the major pest threat for *Actinidia* spp., especially for *A. chinensis* (the

yellow-fleshed kiwifruit). After its introduction into the EPPO region, it rapidly spread and is now established in all kiwi-producing areas and its impact was high in the first decade the pest was present. Countries where kiwifruit is grown, and this pathogen is not present should avoid its introduction. International movement of the pathogen is associated with trade of plants for planting and pollen. There is no risk of introduction with kiwifruits or seeds.

P. syringae pv. *actinidiae* was the object of EU emergency measures until March 31st, 2020 (EU, 2017). Later, following an official exchange of views at the Standing Committee on Animals, Plants, Food and Feed on the need of prolongation of the Commission Implementing Decision mentioned above, and pending a decision whether *P. syringae* pv. *actinidiae* qualifies as RNQP (EU, 2016), a new Commission Implementing Regulation was approved, thus extending the emergency measures in force until December 31st, 2021 (EU, 2020).

PHYTOSANITARY MEASURES

EPPO (2012) recommends the following phytosanitary measures: plants for planting (except seeds) and pollen should originate from a pest-free place of production or a pest-free area. Tissue culture should be produced from mother plants produced in a pest-free place of production or a pest-free area. Additionally, EPPO strongly recommends that surveys are conducted in all kiwifruit growing countries.

Heat treatment has been suggested as a method to reduce the bacterial load of pollen lots (Everett *et al.*, 2016).

Emergency measures have been implemented in the EU since 2012 (EU, 2020) to prevent the introduction and spread of the pathogen within the Union. Such measures include following specific points: i) specified plant material originating in third countries shall be accompanied by a phytosanitary certificate; ii) rigorous inspections shall be implemented at the border control posts and, where appropriate, such material shall be tested; iii) specified plants shall be moved inside the EU territory only when accompanied by a plant passport.

REFERENCES

- Balestra GM, Buriani G, Cellini A, Donati I, Mazzaglia A, Spinelli F (2018) First report of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* on kiwifruit pollen from Argentina. *Plant Disease* **102**(1), 237.
- Balestra GM, Mazzaglia A, Quattrucci A, Renzi M, Rossetti A (2009) Occurrence of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* in Jin Tao kiwi plants in Italy. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* **48**(2), 299-301.
- Biondi E, Galeone A, Kuzmanovic N, Ardizzi S, Lucchese C, Bertaccini A (2013) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* detection in kiwifruit plant tissue and bleeding sap. *Annals of Applied Biology* **162**(1), 60–70.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12001>
- Biondi E, Kuzmanovic N, Galeone A, Ladurner E, Benuzzi M, Minardi P, Bertaccini A (2012) Potential of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strain D747 as control agent against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*. *Journal of Plant Pathology* **94**(4), p. S4.58.
- Butler MI, Stockwell PA, Black MA, Day RC, Lamont IL, Poulter RT (2013) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* from recent outbreaks of kiwifruit bacterial canker belong to different clones that originated in China. *PloS One*, **8** (2), e57464. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057464>
- Chapman JR, Taylor RK, Weir BS, Romberg MK, Vanneste JL, Luck J, Alexander BJ (2012) Phylogenetic relationships among global populations of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*. *Phytopathology*, **102**(11), 1034-1044. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-03-12-0064-R>
- Colombi E, Straub C, Künzel S, Templeton MD, McCann HC, Rainey PB (2017) Evolution of copper resistance in the kiwifruit pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* through acquisition of integrative conjugative elements and plasmids. *Environmental Microbiology* **19**(2), 819–832. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13662>
- Cunty A, Poliakoff F, Rivoal C, Cesbron S, Fischer-Le Saux M, Lemaire C, Jacques MA, Manceau C, Vanneste JL (2015) Characterization of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* (Psa) isolated from France and assignment of

Psa biovar 4 to a *de novo* pathovar: *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidifoliorum* pv. nov.. *Plant Pathology* **64**(3), 582–596. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12297>

Daranas N, Roselló G, Cabrefiga J, Donati I, Francés J, Badosa E, Spinelli F, Montesinos E, Bonaterra A (2019) Biological control of bacterial plant diseases with *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains selected for their broad-spectrum activity. *The Annals of Applied Biology* **174**(1), 92–105. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12476>

de Jong H, Reglinski T, Elmer PAG, Wurms K, Vanneste JL, Guo LF, Alavi M (2019) Integrated use of *Aureobasidium pullulans* strain CG163 and acibenzolar-S-methyl for management of bacterial canker in kiwifruit. *Plants* **8**(8), 287. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8080287>

Donati I, Cellini A, Buriani G, Mauri S, Kay C, Tacconi G, Spinelli F (2018) Pathways of flower infection and pollen-mediated dispersion of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*, the causal agent of kiwifruit bacterial canker. *Horticultural Research* **5**, 56. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-018-0058-6>

Donati I, Cellini A, Sangiorgio D, Vanneste JL, Scortichini M, Balestra GM, Spinelli F (2020) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*: ecology, infection dynamics and disease epidemiology. *Microbial Ecology* **80**, 81–102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-019-01459-8>

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), Vogelaar M, Schenk M, Delbianco A, Graziosi I, Vos S (2020) Pest survey card on *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*. EFSA supporting publication EN-1986, 28 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2020.EN-1986>

EPPO (2012) *Pest risk analysis for Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*. EPPO, Paris. Available from <https://gd.eppo.int/taxon/PSDMAK/documents>

EU (2016) Regulation (EU) 2016/2031 of the European Parliament of the Council of 26 October 2016 on protective measures against pests of plants, amending Regulations (EU) No 228/2013, (EU) No 652/2014 and (EU) No 1143/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Directives 69/464/EEC, 74/647/EEC, 93/85/EEC, 98/57/EC, 2000/29/EC, 2006/91/EC and 2007/33/EC, *Official Journal L* 317, 23.11.2016, 4–104.

EU (2017) Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2017/198 of 2 February 2017 as regards measures to prevent the introduction into and the spread within the Union of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* Takikawa, Serizawa, Ichikawa, Tsuyumu & Goto. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dec_impl/2017/198/oj

EU (2020) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2020/885 of 26 June 2020 as regards measures to prevent the introduction into and the spread within the Union of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* Takikawa, Serizawa, Ichikawa, Tsuyumu & Goto. http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg_impl/2020/885/oj

Everett KR, Taylor RK, Romberg MK, Rees-George J, Fullerton RA, Vanneste JL, Manning MA (2011) First report of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* causing kiwifruit bacterial canker in New Zealand. *Australasian Plant Disease Notes* **6**, 67–71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13314-011-0023-9>

Everett KR, Vergara MJ, Pushparajah IPS (2016) Heat treatments for killing *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* on contaminated kiwifruit pollen. *Acta Horticulturae* **1144**, 385–390.

Fang YZ, Zhu XX, Wang YD (1990) [Preliminary study on the kiwifruit disease in Hunan province]. *Sichuan Fruit Science and Technology* **18**, 28–29 (in Chinese).

Ferrante P, Scortichini M (2009) Identification of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* as causal agent of bacterial canker of yellow kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis* Planchon) in Central Italy. *Journal of Phytopathology* **157**(11/12), 768–770.

Ferrante P, Scortichini M (2014) Frost promotes the pathogenicity of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* in *Actinidia chinensis* and *A. deliciosa* plants. *Plant Pathology* **63**, 12–19.

Ferrante P, Fiorillo E, Marcelletti S, Marocchi F, Mastroleo M, Simeoni S, Scortichini M (2012) The importance of

the main colonization and penetration sites of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* and prevailing weather conditions in the development of epidemics in yellow kiwifruit, recently observed in central Italy. *Journal of Plant Pathology* **94**(2), 455–461.

Frampton RA, Taylor C, Holguín Moreno AV, Visnovsky SB, Petty NK, Pitman AR, Fineran PC (2014) Identification of bacteriophages for biocontrol of the kiwifruit canker phytopathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **80**(7), 2216–2228. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00062-14>

Han HS, Koh YJ, Hur JS, Jung JS (2004) Occurrence of the *strA-strB* streptomycin resistance genes in *Pseudomonas* species isolated from kiwifruit plants. *Journal of Microbiology* **42**, 365–368.

Khandan N, Worner P, Jones E, Villjanen H, Gallipoli L, Mazzaglia A, Balestra GM (2013) Predicting the potential global distribution of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* (Psa). *New Zealand Plant Protection* **66**, 184–193.

Kim GH, Jung JS, Koh YJ (2017) Occurrence and epidemics of bacterial canker of kiwifruit in Korea. *The Plant Pathology Journal* **33**(4), 351–361. <https://doi.org/10.5423/PPJ.RW.01.2017.0021>

Koh YJ, Chung HJ, Cha BJ, Lee DH (1994) Outbreak and spread of bacterial canker in kiwifruit. *Korean Journal of Plant Pathology* **10**, 68–72 (in Korean).

Liu P, Xue S, Rong H, Hu J, Wang X, Jia B, Gallipoli L, Balestra GM, Liwu Z (2016) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* isolated from non-kiwifruit plant species in China. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **145**, 743–754.

McCann HC, Li L, Liu Y, Li D, Pan H, Zhong C, Rikkerink EHA, Templeton MD, Straub C, Colombi E, Rainey PB, Huang H (2017) Origin and Evolution of the Kiwifruit Canker Pandemic, *Genome Biology and Evolution* **9**(4), 932–944. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evx055>

Minardi P, Ardizzi S, Lucchese C (2019) Endophytic survival of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* in *Actinidia chinensis* ‘Hort16A’ plants. *Acta Horticulturae* **1243**, 97–102.

Minardi P, Lucchese C, Ardizzi S, Mazzucchi U (2011) Evidence against the presence of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* in fruits of *Actinidia* orchards affected by bacterial canker in Emilia-Romagna region. *Journal of Plant Pathology* **93**(4 Suppl.), S1-44 - S1-44 [Abstract].

Monchiero M, Gullino ML, Pugliese M, Spadaro D, Garibaldi A (2015) Efficacy of different chemical and biological products in the control of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* on kiwifruit. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **44**, 13–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13313-014-0328-1>

Nunes da Silva M, Vasconcelos MW, Gaspar M, Balestra GM, Mazzaglia A, Carvalho SMP (2020) Early pathogen recognition and antioxidant system activation contributes to *Actinidia arguta* tolerance against *Pseudomonas syringae* pathovars *actinidiae* and *actinidifoliorum*. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **11**, 1022. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01022>

Perez S, Biondi E, Giuliani D, Comuzzo G, Testolin R, Bertaccini A (2019) Preliminary results on susceptibility to bacterial canker of *Actinidia* spp. accessions. *Acta Horticulturae* **1243**, 115–120.

Purahong W, Orrù L, Donati I, Perpetuini G, Cellini A, Lamontanara A, Michelotti V, Tacconi G, Spinelli F (2018) Plant microbiome and its link to plant health: host species, organs and *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* infection shaping bacterial phyllosphere communities of kiwifruit plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **9**, 1563. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01563>

Sánchez MC, Clemente GE, Yommi AK, Alippi AM, Ridao AC (2018) Absence of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* from kiwifruit leaves and flowers from Buenos Aires Province, Argentina. *Acta Horticulturae* **1218**, 351–358.

Sawada H, Fujikawa T (2019) Genetic diversity of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*, pathogen of kiwifruit bacterial canker. *Plant Pathology* **68**, 1235–1248.

- Sawada H, Kondo K, Nakaune R (2016) [Novel biovar (biovar 6) of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* causing bacterial canker of kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa*) in Japan]. *Japanese Journal of Phytopathology* **82**, 101–115 (in Japanese).
- Sawada H, Miyoshi T, Ide Y (2014) [Novel MLSA group (Psa5) of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* causing bacterial canker of kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis*) in Japan]. *Japanese Journal of Phytopathology* **80**, 171–84 (in Japanese).
- Sawada H, Miyoshi T, Shimizu S, Nakaune R, Fujikawa T (2014) Diversity of pathogens causing kiwifruit bacterial canker. *Plant Protection* **68**, 660–667.
- Scortichini M (1994) Occurrence of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* on kiwifruit in Italy. *Plant Pathology* **43**, 1035–1038.
- Scortichini M, Marcelletti S, Ferrante P, Petriccione M, Firrao G (2012) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*: a re-emerging, multi-faceted, pandemic pathogen. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **13**(7), 631–640.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2012.00788.x>
- Serizawa S, Ichikawa T (1993) [Epidemiology of bacterial canker of kiwifruit: 2. The most suitable times and environments for infection on new canes]. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan* **59**, 460–468 (in Japanese).
- Serizawa S, Ichikawa T, Takikawa Y, Tsuyumu S, Goto M (1989) [Occurrence of bacterial canker of kiwifruit in Japan: description of symptoms, isolation of the pathogen and screening of bactericides]. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan* **55**, 427–436 (in Japanese).
- Shahbandeh M (2020) Production volume of kiwis worldwide in 2018, by leading country. In: Statista.com. Accessed on October 7th, 2020.
- Stefani E, Giovanardi D (2011) Dissemination of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* through pollen and its epiphytic life on leaves and fruits. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* **50**, 489–496.
- Ushiyama K, Kita N, Suyama K, Aono N, Ogawa J, Fujii H (1992a) [Bacterial canker disease of wild *Actinidia* plants as the infection source of outbreak of bacterial canker of kiwifruit caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*]. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan* **58**, 426–430 (in Japanese).
- Ushiyama K, Suyama K, Kita N, Aono N, Fujii H (1992b) [Isolation of kiwifruit canker pathogen, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* from leaf spot of Tara vine (*Actinidia arguta* Planchon)]. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan* **58**, 476–479 (in Japanese).
- Tahir J, Hoyte S, Bassett H, Brendolise C, Chatterjee A, Templeton K, Deng C, Crowhurst R, Montefiori M, Morgan E, Wotton A, Funnell K, Wiedow C, Knaebel M, Hedderley D, Vanneste J, McCallum J, Hoeata K, Nath A, Chagné D, Gea L, Gardiner SE (2019) Multiple quantitative trait loci contribute to resistance to bacterial canker incited by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* in kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis*). *Horticultural Research* **6**, 101.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-019-0184-9>
- Takikawa Y, Serizawa S, Ichikawa T, Tsuyumu S, Goto M (1989) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* pv. nov.: the causal bacterium of canker of kiwifruit in Japan. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan* **55**(4), 437–444.
- Tontou R, Giovanardi D, Facchini C, Stefani E (2013) The epiphytic life of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* on kiwifruit and other cultivated and spontaneous plants. *Journal of Plant Pathology* **95**(4) Supplement, 66.
- Tontou R, Gaggia F, Baffoni L, Devescovi G, Venturi V, Giovanardi D, Stefani E (2016) Molecular characterisation of an endophyte showing a strong antagonistic activity against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*. *Plant and Soil* **405**, 97–106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2624-0>
- Vanneste JL (2017) The scientific, economic, and social impacts of the New Zealand outbreak of bacterial canker of

kiwifruit (*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*). *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **55**, 377–99.

Vanneste JL, Cornish DA, Yu J, Stokes CA (2014) First report of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* the causal agent of bacterial canker of kiwifruit on *Actinidia arguta* vines in New Zealand. *Plant Disease* **98**(3), 418.
<https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-06-13-0667-PDN>

Vanneste JL, Giovanardi D, Yu J, Cornish DA, Kay C, Spinelli F, Stefani E (2011a) Detection of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* in kiwifruit pollen samples. *New Zealand Plant Protection* **64**, 246–251.

Vanneste JL, Kay C, Onorato R et al (2011b) Recent advances in the characterisation and control of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*, the causal agent of bacterial canker on kiwifruit. In: Costa G, Ferguson AR, eds. Proceedings of the VII International Symposium on Kiwifruit. Faenza, Italy: ISHS *Acta Horticulturae* **913**, 443–55.

Vanneste JL, Poliakoff F, Audusseau C, Cornish DA, Paillard S, Rivoal C, Yu J (2011) First Report of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*, the causal agent of bacterial canker of kiwifruit in France. *Plant Disease* **95**(10), 1311.
<https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-03-11-0195>

Wang Z, Tang X, Liu S (1992) [Identification of the pathogenic bacterium for bacterial canker on Actinidia in Sichuan]. *Journal of Southwest Agricultural University*, unpaginated (in Chinese).

Xin XF, Kvitko B, He SY (2018) *Pseudomonas syringae*: what it takes to be a pathogen. *Nature reviews. Microbiology* **16**(5), 316–328. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.17>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This datasheet was prepared in 2021 by Emilio Stefani, Department of Life Sciences, Reggio Emilia, Italy. His valuable contribution is gratefully acknowledged.

How to cite this datasheet?

EPPO (2025) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae*. EPPO datasheets on pests recommended for regulation. Available online. <https://gd.eppo.int>

Datasheet history

This datasheet was first published online in 2021. It is maintained in an electronic format in the EPPO Global Database. The sections on 'Identity', 'Hosts', and 'Geographical distribution' are automatically updated from the database. For other sections, the date of last revision is indicated on the right.



Co-funded by the
European Union