

# EPPO Datasheet: *Stenocarpella maydis*

Last updated: 2023-12-01

## IDENTITY

**Preferred name:** *Stenocarpella maydis*

**Authority:** (Berkeley) Sutton

**Taxonomic position:** Fungi: Ascomycota: Pezizomycotina:

Sordariomycetes: Diaporthomycetidae: Diaporthales: Diaporthaceae

**Other scientific names:** *Diplodia maydis* (Berkeley) Saccardo,

*Diplodia zeae-maydis* Mekhtieva, *Diplodia zeae* (Schweinitz)

Léveillé, *Dothiora zeae* (Schweinitz) Bennett, *Hendersonia zeae*

(Léveillé) Hazslin, *Macrodiplodia zeae* (Schweinitz) Petrák &

Sydow, *Sphaeria maydis* Berkeley

**Common names:** leaf spot of maize, seedling blight of maize, stalk rot of maize, white ear rot of maize

[view more common names online...](#)

**EPPO Categorization:** A2 list

[view more categorizations online...](#)

**EPPO Code:** DIPDMA



[more photos...](#)


## HOSTS

*Stenocarpella maydis* has a narrow host range with *Zea mays* as the main host. Bamboos (*Arundinaria* species (Sutton & Waterston, 1996) and *Bambusa* species (Farr & Rossman, 2022)) have also been reported as hosts.

**Host list:** *Arundinaria* sp., *Bambusa* sp., *Zea mays*

## GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION

*Stenocarpella maydis* is distributed in almost all regions of the world. It can be found in Africa, Asia, America and Oceania. In Europe, it is reported in the Czech Republic (EPPO, 2023), Serbia (EPPO, 2023) and Spain (de la Riva *et al.*, 1994). In some EPPO countries it has only been intercepted (e.g. Bulgaria), and in others it has been detected in the past but is no longer present (e.g. Austria) (EPPO, 2023).



**EPPO Region:** Czechia, Italy (mainland), Spain (mainland)

**Africa:** Congo, Congo, The Democratic Republic of the, Eswatini, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Malawi, Nigeria, South Africa, Tanzania, United Republic of, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe

**Asia:** China (Gansu, Guangdong, Guangxi, Guizhou, Henan, Hubei, Jiangsu, Jilin, Liaoning, Shanxi, Sichuan, Yunnan), India (Sikkim), Iran, Islamic Republic of, Korea, Republic of, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines, Taiwan, Thailand

**North America:** Canada (Ontario), Mexico, United States of America (Alabama, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin)

**Central America and Caribbean:** Belize, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras

**South America:** Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil (Minas Gerais, Parana, Rio Grande do Sul, Santa Catarina), Colombia, Ecuador

**Oceania:** Australia (New South Wales), New Zealand

## BIOLOGY

*Stenocarpella maydis* is necrotrophic, exhibiting a parasitic phase in the developing plant and saprophytic phase in the crop residue. *S. maydis* overwinters as viable pycnidia and mycelium on maize debris in the soil (Flett *et al.*, 1992) or on seed. Under warm, moist conditions spores are extruded from pycnidia in long cirrhi and disseminated by wind and rain and, probably by insects.

Maize plants are infected primarily through the crown, mesocotyl and roots and, occasionally, at the nodes between crown and ear. Following this, stalks are invaded. The development of the stalk rot phase is favoured by dry weather early in the growing season, followed by extended periods of rainfall shortly after silking. In stalk infections, injury to the vascular system disrupts translocation and, consequently, reduces grain size.

McNew (1937) recovered *S. maydis* from field soil planted with maize which suggests it can survive in the soil. Luna *et al.* (2017) suggest survival in the soil can be for up to 17 months.

Unbalanced fertility, low potassium, poor drainage, mechanical and insect damage, cultivar, and planting density all influence disease severity. The ear and grain rotting phase is similarly favoured by above-normal rainfall from silking to harvest, ears being most susceptible during the weeks after silking. Invasion of the ear is usually by way of the shank. Hybrids with poor husk coverage or thin pericarps are often highly susceptible.

For more information, see Koehler (1960), Dhanraj (1966), Sutton & Waterston (1966), Christensen & Wilcoxson (1967), Walker (1969), Shurtleff (1980).

## DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION

### Symptoms

#### *Seedlings*

Infected seed gives rise to pre-emergence death in cold soils or blighted seedlings in warmer soils. Seedlings develop brown, cortical lesions on the internode between the scutellum and coleoptile, and the seminal roots are frequently destroyed.

#### *Stalk rot*

Symptoms do not usually appear until several weeks after silking, and generally arise following root infection. Oval, irregular or elongate, single or confluent lesions, 1-10 cm long, with pale cream-brown centres and indeterminate darker borders are frequently associated with stalk rot infection. Leaves wilt, become dry and appear greyish-green, the symptoms resembling frost damage. Affected plants may die suddenly. The green colour of the internodes fades and they become brown to straw-coloured, spongy and easily crushed. The pith disintegrates and becomes discoloured, with only the vascular bundles remaining intact. Dark, sub-epidermal pycnidia may be seen clustered near the nodes, and white fungal growth may also be present on the surface (Sutton & Waterston, 1966; Christensen & Wilcoxson, 1967; Walker, 1969; Shurtleff, 1980).

#### *Ear rot*

Infection usually begins at the ear base, moving up from the shank. If infection occurs within 2 weeks after silking, the entire ear turns greyish-brown, shrunken and completely rotten and light. Alternatively, early infections result in bleached or straw-coloured husks. Lightweight ears usually stand upright with inner husks adhering tightly to one another or to the ear because of mycelial growth between them. Black pycnidia may be scattered on husks, floral bracts and the sides of kernels. Late-infected ears show no external symptoms, but when ears are broken and grains removed, a white mould is commonly found growing between the grains whose tips are discoloured. For more information, see Sutton & Waterston (1966), Christensen & Wilcoxson (1967), Walker (1969), Shurtleff (1980).

### Morphology

Since a number of primary and secondary fungi may be present, microscopic observation of fruiting bodies is recommended.

Pycnidia are immersed, spherical to subglobose, 150-300 µm in diameter, with multicellular walls and a circular protruding papillate ostiole, 30-40 µm in diameter. Conidia in *S. maydis* are straight, curved or irregular, 1 (0-2) septate, smooth-walled and pale-brown with rounded or truncated ends, 5-8 x 15-34 µm Sutton & Waterston (1966).

### Detection and inspection methods

Visual examination in a site of production is not an appropriate method for the detection of *S. maydis*, and samples should be sent directly for laboratory testing (EPPO, 2021).

Seed infested with *S. macrospora* show discoloration and are shriveled, moldy and may be rotten when heavily infected. These symptoms are not specific to this pest as may fungal infestations show similar symptoms. Seeds may be infested asymptotically.

Isolation of *S. maydis* is possible from the infected kernels, seed, cob and stalk rind or pith and root tissues (Flett & Wehner, 1991; Flett *et al.*, 1992).

Seeds of maize should be placed on 1% malt agar and incubated at 20°C for 7 days. Subsequent microscopical observation should then reveal the presence of the fungi. The Japanese Plant Protection Service proposed a procedure which required less time by removing the outer layers of the seeds halfway through the incubation period, with subsequent microscopic examination (Dai *et al.*, 1987).

*Stenocarpella maydis* and *S. macrospora* can be collectively detected using a genus-specific primer (Xia & Achar, 2001). A molecular biology approach based on real-time PCR is an easy, fast, and sensitive test for specific targets compared to conventional methods of diagnosis. Barros *et al.* (2014) and Pinto *et al.* (2022) have developed and validated real-time PCR tests for *S. maydis*.

## **PATHWAYS FOR MOVEMENT**

International spread by *S. maydis* will most probably take place through infected maize seed for planting and intended for animal feed. Studies showed that the mycelium of *S. maydis* is present in the endosperm and embryo of maize seeds (Zad & Ale Agha, 1985). EFSA (2022) note that as *S. maydis* can survive in host plant debris in soil, therefore soil and other growing media may be a pathway for movement. Non-host plants with infected debris in the growing media may be a pathway for entry. EFSA (2022) also note that mycelium, pycnidia and conidia may also be a contaminant on other substrates (e.g. straw, used machinery and equipment). Natural spread of the fungi can be regarded as rather limited.

## **PEST SIGNIFICANCE**

### **Economic impact**

*Stenocarpella maydis* has been shown to cause between 5 and 37% reduction in germination (Nwigwe, 1974), as well as being a serious pathogen of maturing plants. Stalk and grain rots are universally important and among the most destructive diseases of maize throughout the world. In most cases, rots are caused by a complex of several species of fungi and bacteria, rather than by a single species, so it is difficult to assess the loss due to *S. maydis* alone.

Losses due to stalk and grain rots vary from season to season and between regions. Baer *et al.* (2021) detail that *S. maydis* can affect yield with losses varying from 1-2 % to as high as 80 %. In the USA, 5-20 % annual yield losses were estimated due to stalk rot and lodging (Christenson & Wilcoxsen, 1966). Differences in grain weight between stalk-rotted and healthy plants in a naturally infected field ranged from 0 to 26.2%. Relating these data to disease incidence in Illinois, state-wide losses were estimated to be 8.6% (Hooker and Britton, 1962). In artificial conditions, Chambers (1988) found yield losses (grain weight per plant) as high as 97% from Diplodia ear rot inoculations made 10 days after silking.

Grain infected by *S. maydis* has been reported to cause Diplodiosis when fed to cattle and sheep. Different toxic metabolites have been isolated from *S. maydis* including diploidiatoxin, dipmatol, diploinine and chaetoglobosins K and L (Steyn *et al.*, 1972; Wicklow *et al.*, 2011; Snyman *et al.*, 2011; Masango *et al.*, 2015).

### **Control**

A balanced nutritional status of the soil, reducing stress, general good agronomic and tillage practices and the planting of tolerant maize hybrids all form part of an integrated approach to reducing the probability of disease. Cultural methods are the main management strategies for *S. maydis*, since the fungus overwinters as pycnidia on corn residues (which can include leaves, stalks, and cobs) and can remain viable for at least one growing season. Seed treatments are fairly effective in controlling seedling blight, but once the fungus is established in the soil, crop rotation for at least two seasons is necessary to eliminate it.

### **Phytosanitary risk**

Maize is an important silage and grain crop in the EPPO region, and *S. maydis* is one of the most prevalent ear and stalk rot pathogens of maize globally, causing reductions of grain quality and yield. There is the potential for further

establishment in EPPO countries where it does not occur. Host plant availability and climate are favourable for the establishment of the fungi in maize-growing areas. There is the potential for further spread of this fungi in the EPPO region. It has been repeatedly found in certain countries in the EPPO region, without having established.

## PHYTOSANITARY MEASURES

Certified *Z. mays* seed should be used. Seeds of *Z. mays* from countries where *S. maydis* occurs should come from pest free areas or in a pest-free place of production. For the inspection of consignments of seed or grain, the EPPO Standard PM 3/78(2) can be used (EPPO, 2021).

## REFERENCES

- Baer OT, Laude TP, Reano CE, Gregorio GB, Diaz MGQ, Pabro LJA, Tamba L, Baltazar N, Fabreag MER, Pocsedio AE & Kumar AG (2021) Diplodia ear rot resistance QTL identified in maize (*Zea mays* L.) using multi-parent double-haploid population mapping. *Sabao Journal of Breeding and Genetics* **53**(1), 112–125.
- Barros E, Crampton M, Marais G & Lezar S (2008) A DNA-based method to quantify *Stenocarpella maydis* in maize. *Maydica* **53**(2), 125.
- Chambers KR (1988) Effect of time of inoculation on Diplodia stalk and ear rot of maize in South Africa. *Plant Disease* **72**, 529–531.
- Christensen JJ & Wilcoxson RD (1967) Stalk rot of corn. *Monograph of the American Phytopathological Society* No. 3, 59 pp.
- Dai K, Nagai M, Sasaki H, Nakamura H, Takechi K & Warabi M (1987) Detection of *Diplodia maydis* from imported corn seed. *Research Bulletin of the Plant Protection Service of Japan* **23**, 1-6.
- de la Riva A, García-Carneros AB & Molinero-Ruiz L (2019) First report of stalk rot of maize caused by *Stenocarpella maydis* in Spain. *Plant Disease* **103**, 1789.
- Dhanraj KS (1966) Dry rot of maize caused by *Diplodia macrospora*. *Indian Phytopathology* **19**, 120.
- EFSA PLH Panel (EFSA Panel on Plant Health), Bragard C, Baptista P, Chatzivassiliou E, Di Serio F, Gonthier P, Jaques Miret JA, Justesen AF, MacLeod A, Magnusson CS, Milonas P, Navas-Cortes JA, Parnell S, Potting R, Stefani E, Thulke H-H, Van der Werf W, Civera AV, Yuen J, Zappala L, Migheli Q, Vloutoglou I, Maiorano A, Streissl F & Reignault PL (2022). Scientific Opinion on the pest categorisation of *Stenocarpella maydis*. *EFSA Journal* **20**(11), 7626, 29 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2022.7626>
- EPPO (2023) EPPO Global Database. <https://gd.eppo.int/>
- EPPO (2021) PM 3/78 (2) Consignment inspection of seed and grain of cereals. *EPPO Bulletin* **51**, 387-396.
- Farr DF & Rossman AY (2022) Fungal Databases. National Fungus Collections, ARS, USDA, US. Available online: <https://nt.ars-grin.gov/fungaldatabases/> [Accessed 10 September 2023].
- Flett BC & Wehner FC (1991) Incidence of *Stenocarpella* and *Fusarium* cob rots in monoculture maize under different tillage systems. *Journal of Phytopathology* **133**(4), 327–333.
- Flett BC, Wehner FC & Smith MF (1992) Relationship between maize stubble placement in soil and survival of *Stenocarpella maydis* (*Diplodia maydis*). *Journal of Phytopathology* **134**(1), 33–38.
- Hooker AL & Britton MP (1962) The effects of stalk rot on corn yields in Illinois. *Plant Disease* **72**, 529–531.
- Koehler B (1960) Corn stalk rots in Illinois. Bulletin, University of Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station No. 658.
- Luna MPR, Cambareto JJ & Wise KA (2017) Survival of *Stenocarpella maydis* on corn residue in Indiana. *Plant Health Progress*

18(2), 78–83.

McNew GL (1937) Crown infection of corn by *Diplodia zeae*. *Iowa Agriculture Experimental Station Research Bulletin* **19**, 189–222.

Masango MG, Flett BC, Ellis CE & Botha CJ (2015) *Stenocarpella maydis* and its toxic metabolites: A South African perspective on diplodiosis. *World Mycotoxin Journal* **8**, 341–350.

Nwigwe C (1974) Effect of *Diplodia zeae* and *B-Phomopsis* on the germination of seeds of maize (*Zea mays*). *Plant Disease Reporter* **58**, 414–415.

Pinto FAMF, Porto VBC, Guimarães RA, Siqueira CDS, Faria MRD, Machado JDC & Medeiros FHVD (2022) Detection and factors that induce *Stenocarpella* spp. survival in maize stubble and soil suppressiveness under tropical conditions. *Applied Sciences* **12**(10), 4974.

Shurtleff MC (1980) *A Compendium of Corn Diseases*, pp. 27, 44–45, 51–52. American Phytopathological Society, St Paul, Minnesota, USA.

Snyman LD, Kellerman TS, Vleggaar R, Flett BC, Basson KM & Schultz RA (2011) Diplonine, a neurotoxin isolated from cultures of the fungus *Stenocarpella maydis* (Berk.) Sacc. that induces diplodiosis. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* **59**, 9039–9044.

Steyn PS, Wessels PL, Holzapfel CW, Potgieter DJJ & Louw WKA (1972) The isolation and structure of a toxic metabolite from *Diplodia maydis* (Berk.) Sacc. *Tetrahedron* **28**, 4775–4785.

Sutton BC & Waterston JM (1966) *Diplodia maydis*. *CMI Descriptions of Pathogenic Fungi and Bacteria* No. 84. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.

Walker JC (1969) *Plant Pathology* (3rd edition), pp. 335, 341. McGraw-Hill, New York, USA.

Wicklow DT, Rogers KD, Dowd PF & Gloer JB (2011) Bioactive metabolites from *Stenocarpella maydis*, a stalk and ear rot pathogen of maize. *Fungal Biology* **115**, 133–142.

Xia Z & Achar PN (2001) Random amplified polymorphic DNA and polymerase chain reaction markers for the differentiation and detection of *Stenocarpella maydis* in maize seeds. *Journal of Phytopathology* **149**(1), 35–44.

Zad J & Ale Agha N (1985) A note on the mycoflora of maize in Iran. *Mededelingen van de Faculteit Landbouwwetenschappen Rijksuniversiteit Gent* **50**, 1149–1151.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This datasheet was extensively revised in 2023 by Felipe A. M. Ferreira Pinto, Epagri Santa Catarina- Brazil. His valuable contribution is gratefully acknowledged.

## How to cite this datasheet?

EPPO (2026) *Stenocarpella maydis*. EPPO datasheets on pests recommended for regulation. Available online. <https://gd.eppo.int>

## Datasheet history

This datasheet was first published in the EPPO Bulletin in 1982 and revised in the two editions of 'Quarantine Pests for Europe' in 1992 and 1997, as well as in 2023. It is now maintained in an electronic format in the EPPO Global Database. The sections on 'Identity', 'Hosts', and 'Geographical distribution' are automatically updated from the database. For other sections, the date of last revision is indicated on the right.

CABI/EPPO (1992/1997) *Quarantine Pests for Europe* (1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> edition). CABI, Wallingford (GB).

EPPO (1982) Data sheets on quarantine organisms, *Diplodia maydis*. *EPPO Bulletin* **12**(1), 49-53.  
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1365-2338.1982.tb01955.x>



Co-funded by the  
European Union