

Data Sheets on Quarantine Pests

*Monilinia fructicola***IDENTITY**

Name: *Monilinia fructicola* (Winter) Honey

Synonyms: *Sclerotinia fructicola* (Winter) Rehm

Anamorph: *Monilia* sp.

Taxonomic position: Fungi: Ascomycetes: Helotiales

Common names: Brown rot, twig canker (English) Pourriture brune (French)

Fruchtfäule des Kern- und Steinobstes (German)

Rot pardo de los frutales (Spanish)

Bayer computer code: MONIFC

EPPO A1 list: No. 153

EU Annex designation: I/A1

HOSTS

The main host range of this fungus covers the rosaceous fruit trees: principally peaches and other *Prunus* spp., to a lesser extent apples and pears; the fungus can also be found on *Chaenomeles*, *Crataegus*, *Cydonia* and *Eriobotrya*. A recent report from Japan (Visarathanonth *et al.*, 1988) claims that *M. fructicola* also causes a brown rot of grapes. Infected grapes were found in a wholesale market in Tokyo and inoculation tests were successful.

In the EPPO region, apples, pears and peaches are the most widely cultivated hosts.

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION

EPPO region: Egypt (unconfirmed).

Asia: India (Himachal Pradesh), Japan (Honshu), Taiwan, Yemen.

Africa: Egypt (unconfirmed), South Africa, Zimbabwe (IAPSC, 1985).

North America: Canada (Ontario), Mexico, USA (widespread).

Central America and Caribbean: Guatemala, Panama; probably widespread.

South America: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil (Rio Grande do Sul, São Paulo), Ecuador, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay, Venezuela; reported absent from Chile.

Oceania: Australia (New South Wales, Queensland, South Australia, Tasmania, Victoria, Western Australia), New Zealand.

EU: Absent.

Distribution map: See IAPSC (1985, No. 306), CMI (1991, No. 50).

BIOLOGY

M. fructicola overwinters in or on mummified fruit, or in infected tissues on trees, such as twigs, peduncles and cankers on branches. Conidia produced on these under humid conditions in spring are wind-dispersed and, in the presence of moisture, will infect

blossoms, causing blossom blight. This generally leads to infection of the young twigs or leaves (twig and leaf blight) and stem cankers.

Moisture plays an important role in the infection pathway of the fungus. Without a wetting period, infection is nearly nil even in the presence of large inocula; with only a 3-h wetting period infection remains very low (Wilcox, 1989); with wetness periods of 15 h, over 80% of cherries are infected by the pathogen (Biggs & Northover, 1988).

Further conidia are produced which infect ripening fruits. Conidial production itself is influenced by temperature. Temperatures around 15°C favour the development of bigger conidia, with a greater nuclear number, better germination and, most important, increased aggressiveness (Phillips, 1984; Phillips *et al.*, 1989). Infected fruits normally mummify, but if infection occurs at or near harvest, post-harvest rot may develop.

The teleomorph, rarely seen in the related European species *M. fructigena* and *M. laxa*, is significant in the life cycle of *M. fructicola*. Apothecia are erratically formed on fallen mummified fruits in spring. They release ascospores in damp weather which, in the presence of free moisture, will infect blossoms.

DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION

Symptoms

M. fructicola cannot in principle be distinguished from the other brown rot fungi except by laboratory examination (see below). It tends to occur more often on peaches and nectarines, while *M. laxa* favours apricots and almonds. However, both species can occur on all *Prunus* spp. and are difficult to differentiate (Wilson & Ogawa, 1979). *M. fructigena* is more characteristically found on apples and pears. On fruit, a soft brown rot (sometimes turning black in apple) is followed by the appearance of conidial pustules on the surface of the fruit (especially on cut surfaces). These are grey-coloured in *M. fructicola* and *M. laxa*, but distinctly buff-coloured when freshly formed in *M. fructigena*. In low humidity, pustules may not develop; instead, the whole fruit shrivels into a wrinkled mummy. Infected blossoms and leaves turn brown and wither, producing a typical blighted appearance. Stem infections lead to brown, collapsed areas (cankers), often with an accumulation of surface gum. Tufts of conidiophores appear on these infected tissues under humid conditions.

Morphology

Table 1 summarizes the main differences between *M. fructicola* and the two related European species.

Conidial dimensions are more variable in nature, depending on temperature (longer at 15 than at 25°C) and host species (Phillips, 1984). On oatmeal agar (Sonoda, 1982), distinct (occasionally black) lines form between colonies of *M. fructicola* and *M. laxa*.

The electrophoretic and isoelectric focussing patterns of several enzymes clearly distinguish *M. fructicola* from *M. laxa* (Penrose *et al.*, 1976; Byrde & Willetts, 1977; Willetts *et al.*, 1977; Mordue, 1979).

Table 1. Main differences between *Monilinia fructicola* and the two related European species in culture.

Species	Conidial dimensions in culture	Hyphal diameter	Germ tubes *
<i>M. fructicola</i>	14.5-16 x 9.5-11 µm	Relatively narrow	Long, branching (if at all) far from spore
<i>M. fructigena</i>	18-21 x 11.5-13 µm	Relatively wide	As above
<i>M. laxa</i>	11.5-17 x 8-11 µm	Relatively narrow	Short, branching near spore
	Sporulation**		Colony shape**
<i>M. fructicola</i>	Abundant with stromata and spermatia		Margin entire
<i>M. fructigena</i>	As <i>M. fructicola</i> but much less abundant		Margin entire
<i>M. laxa</i>	Virtually none		Lobed margin

* After 12 h in nutrient medium

** After 3 days on malt agar in the light

MEANS OF MOVEMENT AND DISPERSAL

The risk of international spread by natural means is relatively low, even if the fungus can be dispersed by wind and by insects, such as *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera) which can be contaminated by the fungus (Michailides & Spotts, 1990). The most likely means of entry is on planting material of susceptible genera, especially rooted plants but to a lesser extent budwood. There is also a certain risk from fresh fruit, especially apples, pears and fruit of *Prunus* spp.

PEST SIGNIFICANCE

Economic impact

M. fructicola causes severe losses, especially on stone fruits (*Prunus* spp.), both before and after harvest. Heavy losses have been reported in North America on peaches, cherries and plums. Losses of 1 million AUD occurred on peaches in 1969 in the Murrumbidgee area (Australia), and heavy losses have also been reported on apricots in Tasmania.

Control

Zehr (1982) reviewed control methods in North America. Control can be achieved by chemical and biological means as well as by the utilization of resistant cultivars. Chemical control is not without problems, even though benomyl and thiophanate-methyl (Montero *et al.*, 1985), vinclozolin (Brackmann *et al.*, 1984), iprodione and triforine (Harman & Beever, 1987), and bitertanol (Takamura & Ochiai, 1989) have been reported to be very effective against the fungus. The need to spray several times during the growing period in stone fruit orchards has led to a build-up of fungicide resistance in *M. fructicola*. Strains of *M. fructicola* resistant to most of the commonly used fungicides can be found, especially to benzimidazoles (Elmer & Gaunt, 1986) and dicarboximides (Elmer & Gaunt, 1988).

Studies in New Zealand showed that, out of 1292 naturally sampled isolates of *M. fructicola*, 19% were tolerant of dicarboximides (Elmer & Gaunt, 1986). In laboratory studies, strains were selected which showed resistance to sterol biosynthesis inhibitors, demethylation inhibitors and morpholine (Nuninger-Ney *et al.*, 1989).

Biological control of *M. fructicola* is concentrating on the use of *Bacillus subtilis*. This organism has been reported as very effective against the pathogen, and is particularly used in the post-harvest control of brown rot, where it can be as effective as benomyl (Pusey, 1989).

Another way to avoid losses due to brown rot is by using resistant cultivars. There are several cultivars available which are especially resistant to fruit infection (Layne, 1985; Feliciano *et al.*, 1987).

Phytosanitary risk

M. fructicola is an EPPO A1 pest (OEPP/EPPO, 1988) and is also a quarantine pest for IAPSC. The fact that *M. fructicola* seems to be more variable and adaptable than the European species *M. fructigena* and *M. laxa* (perhaps associated with the more frequent occurrence of the teleomorph) means that it is likely to cause serious losses in the EPPO region in situations where the European species are not significant. It may be noted that, for Australia, *M. fructigena* is the dangerous quarantine pest (Commonwealth Department of Health, 1984).

PHYTOSANITARY MEASURES

Since symptoms on planting material are likely to be insignificant, it may be most prudent to prohibit its entry from countries where *M. fructicola* occurs. Alternatively, such planting material should come from a recognized certification scheme, in a region where *M. fructicola* does not occur.

Fruits of *Prunus* spp., apples and pears from infested countries in the northern hemisphere will arrive in Europe at a time when there is a relatively low risk that fruit trees might be infected. However, fruits from the southern hemisphere will arrive at a high-risk period, and particular care should be given to their inspection. They should come from an area where *M. fructicola* does not occur or the consignment should come from a source found free from *M. fructicola* 6 weeks before harvest and treated according to an EPPO-recommended procedure (OEPP/EPPO, 1990).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Biggs, A.R.; Northover, J. (1988) Influence of temperature and wetness duration on infection of peach and sweet cherry by *M. fructicola*. *Phytopathology* **78**, 1352-1356.
- Brackmann, A.; Garibaldi, N.; Mauch, N. (1984) Evaluation of the efficiency of fungicides for the post-harvest control of rots in peach (*Prunus persica*). *Anais do VII Congresso Brasileiro de Fruticultura, 1984* **4**, 1080-1087.
- Byrde, R.J.W.; Willetts, H.J. (1977) *The brown rot fungi of fruit*. Pergamon Press, London, UK.
- CMI (1991) *Distribution Maps of Plant Diseases* No. 50 (edition 5). CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Commonwealth Department of Health (1984) Brown rot of pome fruit. *Plant Quarantine Leaflet* No. 37. Canberra, Australia.
- Elmer, P.A.G.; Gaunt, R.E. (1986) A survey of fungicide insensitivity in *Monilinia fructicola*. *Proceedings of the 39th New Zealand Weed and Pest Control Conference, 1986*, pp. 166-169.
- Elmer, P.A.G.; Gaunt, R.E. (1988) Dicarboximide resistance and disease control in brown rot of stonefruit. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Weed and Pest Control Conference, 1988* No. 41, pp. 271-274.
- Feliciano, A.; Feliciani, A.J.; Ogawa, J.M. (1987) *Monilinia fructicola* resistance in the peach cultivar Bolinha. *Phytopathology* **77**, 776-780.

- Harman, J.E.; Beever, D.J. (1987) The use of post-harvest fungicides to control storage rots in nectarines. *Orchardist of New Zealand* **60**, 384.
- IAPSC (1985) *Monilinia fructicola*. *Distribution Maps of Major Crop Pests and Diseases in Africa* No. 306. IAPSC, Yaoundé, Cameroon.
- Layne, R.E.C. (1985) 'Harrow Diamond' peach. *HortScience* **20**, 1143-1144.
- Michailides, T.J.; Spotts, R.A. (1990) Transmission of *Mucor piriformis* to fruit of *Prunus persica* by *Carpophilus* spp. and *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Plant Disease* **74**, 287-291.
- Montero, J.C.; Esposito, S.M.; Gonzales de las Heras, B.A. (1985) Evaluation of nutrients as modifiers of the predisposition of nectarines to brown rot and behaviour of benzimidazoles in controlling the disease. *Boletín Tecnico Estacion Experimental de Mercedes* **5**, 1-11.
- Mordue, J.E.M. (1979) *Sclerotinia fructicola*, *S. fructigena*, *S. laxa*. *CMI Descriptions of Pathogenic Fungi and Bacteria* Nos 616, 617, 619. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Nuninger-Ney, C.; Schwinn, F.J.; Staub, T. (1989) *In vitro* selection of sterol-biosynthesis-inhibitor (SBI) resistant mutants in *Monilinia fructicola* (Wint.) Honey. *Netherlands Journal of Plant Pathology* **95** Supplement 1, 137-150.
- OEPP/EPPO (1988) Data sheets on quarantine organisms No. 153, *Monilinia fructicola*. *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin* **18**, 509-512.
- OEPP/EPPO (1990) Specific quarantine requirements. *EPPO Technical Documents* No. 1008.
- Penrose, L.J.; Tarran, J.; Wong, A.L. (1976) First record of *Sclerotinia laxa* in New South Wales: differentiation from *S. fructicola* by cultural characteristics and electrophoresis. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **27**, 547-556.
- Phillips, D.J. (1984) Effect of temperature on *Monilinia fructicola* conidia produced on fresh stone fruit. *Plant Disease* **68**, 610-612.
- Phillips, D.J.; Margosan, D.A.; Mackey, B.E. (1989) Volume, nuclear number, and aggressiveness of conidia of *Monilinia fructicola* produced on media of varied glucose concentrations at 15 and 25°C. *Phytopathology* **79**, 401-403.
- Pusey, P.L. (1989) Use of *Bacillus subtilis* and related organisms as biofungicides. *Pesticide Science* **27**, 133-140.
- Sonoda, R.M. (1982) Use of interactions of cultures to distinguish *Monilinia laxa* from *M. fructicola*. *Plant Disease* **66**, 325-326.
- Takamura, N.; Ochiai, M. (1989) Control of brown rot of peaches by bitertanol. *Annual Report of the Society of Plant Protection of North Japan* No. 40, pp. 77-80.
- Visarathanonth, N.; Kakishima, M.; Harada, Y. (1988) Brown rot of grape berry caused by *M. fructicola*. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan* **54**, 238-241.
- Wilcox, W.F. (1989) Influence of environment and inoculum density on the incidence of brown rot blossom blight of sour cherry. *Phytopathology* **79**, 530-534.
- Willets, H.J.; Byrde, R.J.W.; Fielding, A.H.; Wong, A.L. (1977) The taxonomy of the brown rot fungi (*Monilinia* spp.) related to their extracellular cell wall-degrading enzymes. *Journal of General Microbiology* **103**, 77-83.
- Wilson, E.E.; Ogawa, J.M. (1979) *Fungal, bacterial and certain non-parasitic diseases of fruit and nut crops in California*. Californian Agricultural Science Publications, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Zehr, E.I. (1982) Control of brown rot in peach orchards. *Plant Disease* **66**, 1101-1105.