

Data Sheets on Quarantine Pests

*Anastrepha obliqua***IDENTITY****Name:** *Anastrepha obliqua* (Macquart)**Synonyms:** *Acrotoxa obliqua* (Macquart)*Anastrepha fraterculus* var. *mombinpraeoptans* Seín*Anastrepha mombinpraeoptans* Seín*Anastrepha trinidadensis* Greene *Tephritis obliqua* Macquart*Trypeta obliqua* (Macquart)**Taxonomic position:** Insecta: Diptera: Tephritidae**Common names:** West Indian fruit fly, Antillean fruit fly (English)

Mouche antillaise des fruits (French)

Notes on taxonomy and nomenclature: Most records of *Anastrepha acidusa* (Walker) are misidentifications of this species.**Bayer computer code:** ANSTOB**EPPO A1 list:** No. 231**EU Annex designation:** I/A1**HOSTS**

The main native hosts are *Spondias* spp. (Anacardiaceae), but these are only of local interest. Mangoes (*Mangifera indica*), also Anacardiaceae, are the economically important host, on which the species has extended its range (Hernandez-Ortiz, 1992). *Citrus* and guavas (*Psidium guajava*) are only occasional hosts. Like other *Anastrepha* spp., *A. obliqua* has been recorded incidentally on a wider range of fruits, both tropical and temperate, but these records are incidental occurrences, of no economic significance.

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION**EPPO region:** Absent.**North America:** Bermuda, Mexico, USA (found but not established in California, Florida and Texas).**Central America and Caribbean:** Bahamas, Belize, British Virgin Islands, Guatemala, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Guadeloupe, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Martinique, Montserrat, Panama, Puerto Rico, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Lucia, Trinidad and Tobago, United States Virgin Islands.**South America:** Argentina, Brazil (Amazonas, Bahía, Espírito Santo, Pará, Paraná, Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo), Colombia, Ecuador, Guyana, Paraguay, Peru, Suriname, Venezuela.**EU:** Absent.**Distribution map:** See CIE (1988, No. 90).

BIOLOGY

As in *Anastrepha* spp. generally, eggs are laid below the skin of the host fruit and hatch within 3-12 days. The larvae feed for another 15-32 days. Pupariation is in the soil under the host plant and adults emerge after 15-19 days (longer in cool conditions); adults occur throughout the year (Christenson & Foote, 1960), with little seasonal variation (Hedstrom, 1993).

DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION

Symptoms

Attacked fruit can show signs of oviposition punctures, but these, or any other symptoms of damage, are often difficult to detect in the early stages of infestation. Much damage may occur inside the fruit before external symptoms are seen, often as networks of tunnels accompanied by rotting.

Morphology

Eggs

The egg of *A. obliqua* bears a conspicuous respiratory "horn" which projects outside the fruit peel (Murillo & Jiron, 1994).

Larva

In general it is not possible to identify *Anastrepha* spp. with certainty from larval characteristics. Descriptions of the larva of *A. obliqua* are provided by Berg (1979), Steck *et al.* (1990) and White & Elson-Harris (1992). As in other *Anastrepha* spp., the larva is whitish, up to 12 mm in length, usually feeding in the flesh of the fruits. The two mouth hooks are strongly developed and equal in size. The body is tapered anteriorly and truncated at the posterior end. Each posterior spiracle has three openings or slits arranged parallel or converging, on a sclerotized plate. The larva of *A. obliqua* is difficult to distinguish from that of *A. fraterculus*, but can be separated from that of *A. ludens* by having usually eight or nine buccal carinae instead of twelve and by having a single line of caudal papillae, above and below the posterior spiracles, instead of two lines. The larva of *A. suspensa* differs from that of *A. obliqua* in the shape of the teeth on the oral ridges.

Adult

A. obliqua, like other *Anastrepha* spp., is easily separated from other tephritids by a simple wing venation character; the vein that reaches the wing margin just behind the wing apex curves forwards before joining the wing margin. Furthermore, most *Anastrepha* spp. have a very characteristic wing pattern; the apical half of the wing has two inverted 'V'-shaped markings, one fitting within the other; and a stripe along the forward edge of the wing which runs from near the wing base to about half way along the wing length.

Identification to species is more difficult. In particular, it is essential to dissect the aculeus (ovipositor piercer) of a female specimen to achieve positive identification. The adult of *A. obliqua* is very difficult to separate from that of *A. fraterculus*; if necessary, specimens should be referred to a specialist. The following description applies to both species.

Colour: scutum without any silvery or hoary patterning; base of scutellum and posterior margin of scutum without a black mark; apical section of vein M (beyond dm-cu crossvein) crossed by an oblique marking; in cell r4+5 this marking often joins the marking on crossvein dm-cu to form an inverted V-shaped band (known as the V-band). Abdomen: aculeus tip serrate and less than 0.18 mm wide; aculeus at most 2.0 mm long. Wing length 5-7 mm.

Detection and inspection methods

No male lures have yet been identified for *Anastrepha* spp. However, they are captured by traps emitting ammonia and it is likely that traps already set for *Rhagoletis cerasi* in the cherry-growing areas of the EPPO region may attract *Anastrepha* spp. if they should ever occur in those areas. McPhail traps are usually used for the capture of *Anastrepha* spp. (see Drew, 1982 for trap details) and possible baits are ammonium acetate (Hedstrom & Jimenez, 1988), casein hydrolysate (Sharp, 1987) and torula yeast (Hedstrom & Jiron, 1985). The number of traps required per unit area is high; in a release and recapture test Calkins *et al.* (1984) placed 18 traps per 0.4 ha and only recovered about 13% of the released flies.

MEANS OF MOVEMENT AND DISPERSAL

There is evidence that adults of *Anastrepha* spp. can fly for as far as 135 km (Fletcher, 1989) and therefore natural movement is an important means of spread.

In international trade, the major means of dispersal to previously uninfested areas is the transport of fruits containing live larvae. For the EPPO region, the most important fruits liable to carry *A. obliqua* are *Mangifera indica*, and to a lesser extent *Citrus* and *Psidium guajava*. *A. obliqua* has been intercepted in France on mangoes from Mexico. The various tropical fruit hosts which may be locally important in America are little traded to Europe. There is also a risk from the transport of puparia in soil or packaging with plants which have already fruited.

PEST SIGNIFICANCE

Economic impact

Anastrepha spp. are the most serious fruit fly pests in the tropical Americas (Norrbon & Foote, 1989), with the possible exception of the introduced *Ceratitidis capitata* (EPPO/CABI, 1996). *A. obliqua* is recorded from *Citrus* spp., but they are not important hosts (Enkerlin *et al.*, 1989). It mainly attacks mangoes and other Anacardiaceae (Whervin, 1974).

Control

Control can be considerably aided by good cultural practices, for example by gathering all fallen and infected host fruits, and destroying them. Insecticidal protection is possible by using a cover spray or a bait spray. Malathion is the usual choice of insecticide for fruit fly control and this is usually combined with protein hydrolysate to form a bait spray (Roessler, 1989); practical details are given by Bateman (1982). Bait sprays work on the principle that both male and female tephritids are strongly attracted to a protein source from which ammonia emanates. Bait sprays have the advantage over cover sprays that they can be applied as a spot treatment so that the flies are attracted to the insecticide and there is minimal impact on natural enemies.

Phytosanitary risk

EPPO lists *A. obliqua* as an A1 quarantine pest (OEPP/EPPO, 1983) within the broad category "non-European Trypetidae"; it is also of quarantine significance to APPPC, CPPC and NAPPO.

A. obliqua, like other *Anastrepha* spp., derives from tropical wet forest habitats; the northern and central part of the EPPO region would not have sufficiently high temperatures for its survival, whereas most of the warmer southern parts of the EPPO region would probably be too arid for it to become widely established. Thus, the direct risk of establishment of *A. obliqua* in most of the EPPO region is minimal, though populations

might enter and multiply during the summer months. In southern areas, some such populations might survive one or several winters, though in any case the direct losses from such introductions would probably not be high. The major risk for EPPO countries arises from the probable imposition of much stricter phytosanitary restrictions on exported fruits (particularly to America and Japan) if any *Anastrepha* sp. enters and multiplies, even temporarily.

PHYTOSANITARY MEASURES

Consignments of fruits of *Annona*, *Citrus*, *Fortunella*, *Malus*, *Mangifera indica*, *Prunus domestica*, *Prunus persica* and *Psidium guajava* from countries where *A. obliqua* occurs should be inspected for symptoms of infestation and those suspected should be cut open in order to look for larvae. EPPO recommends (OEPP/EPPO, 1990) that such fruits should come from an area where *A. obliqua* does not occur or from a place of production found free from the pest by regular inspection for 3 months before harvest. Fruits may also be treated in transit by cold treatment (e.g. 13, 15 or 17 days at 0.5, 1 or 1.5°C, respectively) or, for certain types of fruits, by vapour heat (e.g. keeping at 43°C for 4-6 h) (USDA, 1994), or by hot water immersion (Nascimento *et al.*, 1992) or forced hot-air quarantine treatment (Mangan & Ingle, 1992). Ethylene dibromide was previously widely used as a fumigant but is now generally withdrawn because of its carcinogenicity; methyl bromide is less satisfactory, damaging many fruits and reducing their shelf life, but treatment schedules are available (e.g. 40 g/m³ for 2 h at 21-29.5°C; USDA, 1994).

Plants of host species transported with roots from countries where *A. suspensa* occurs should be free from soil, or the soil should be treated against puparia, and should not carry fruits. Such plants may indeed be prohibited importation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bateman, M.A. (1982) Chemical methods for suppression or eradication of fruit fly populations. In: *Economic fruit flies of the South Pacific Region* (Ed. by Drew, R.A.I.; Hooper, G.H.S.; Bateman, M.A.) (2nd edition), pp. 115-128. Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane, Australia.
- Berg, G.H. (1979) *Pictorial key to fruit fly larvae of the family Tephritidae*, 36 pp. Organismo Internacional Regional de Sanidad Agropecuaria, San Salvador, El Salvador.
- Calkins, C.O.; Schroeder, W.J.; Chambers, D.L. (1984) Probability of detecting Caribbean fruit fly, *Anastrepha suspensa* (Loew) (Diptera: Tephritidae), populations with McPhail traps. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **77**, 198-201.
- Christenson, L.D.; Foote, R.H. (1960) Biology of fruit flies. *Annual Review of Entomology* **5**, 171-192.
- CIE (1988) *Distribution Maps of Pests, Series A No. 90* (revised). CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Drew, R.A.I. (1982) Fruit fly collecting. In: *Economic fruit flies of the South Pacific Region* (Ed. by Drew, R.A.I.; Hooper, G.H.S.; Bateman, M.A.) (2nd edition), pp. 129-139. Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane, Australia.
- Enkerlin, D.; Garcia, R.; Lopez, M.F. (1989) Pest status; Mexico, Central and South America. In: *World Crop Pests 3(A). Fruit flies; their biology, natural enemies and control* (Ed. by Robinson, A.S.; Hooper, G.), pp. 83-90. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- EPPO/CABI (1996) *Ceratitidis capitata*. In: *Quarantine pests for Europe*. 2nd edition (Ed. by Smith, I.M.; McNamara, D.G.; Scott, P.R.; Holderness, M.). CAB INTERNATIONAL, Wallingford, UK.
- Fletcher, B.S. (1989) Ecology; movements of tephritid fruit flies. In: *World Crop Pests 3(B). Fruit flies; their biology, natural enemies and control* (Ed. by Robinson, A.S.; Hooper, G.), pp. 209-219. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

- Hedstrom, I.; Jimenez, J. (1988) [Field evaluation of attractants in the capture of *Anastrepha* spp. (Diptera, Tephritidae), pests of fruit trees in tropical America. II. Ammonium acetate and torula with sodium borate]. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* **32**, 319-322.
- Hedstrom, I. (1993) Population dynamics and host relationships of Neotropical fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in seasonal and non-seasonal environments. *International Journal of Pest Management* **39**, 400-410.
- Hedstrom, I.; Jiron, L.F. (1985) [Field evaluation of attractants in the capture of *Anastrepha* spp. (Diptera, Tephritidae), pests of fruit trees in tropical America. I. Molasses and torula yeast]. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* **29**, 515-520.
- Hernandez-Ortiz, V. (1992) El género *Anastrepha* en México. Taxonomía, distribución y sus plantas huéspedes. Instituto de Ecología, Xalapa, Mexico.
- Mangan, R.L.; Ingle, S.J. (1992) Forced hot-air quarantine treatment for mangoes infested with West Indian fruit fly. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **85**, 1859-1864.
- Murillo, T.; Jiron, L.F. (1994) Egg morphology of *Anastrepha obliqua* and some comparative aspects with eggs of *Anastrepha fraterculus*. *Florida Entomologist* **77**, 342-348.
- Nascimento, A.S.; Malavasi, A.; Morgante, J.S.; Duarte, A.L.A. (1992) Hot water immersion treatment for mangoes infested with *Anastrepha fraterculus*, *A. obliqua* and *Ceratitidis capitata*. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **85**, 456-460.
- Norrbom, A.L.; Foote, R.H. (1989) Taxonomy and zoogeography; the taxonomy and zoogeography of the genus *Anastrepha* (Diptera: Tephritidae). In: *World Crop Pests 3(A). Fruit flies; their biology, natural enemies and control* (Ed. by Robinson, A.S.; Hooper, G.), pp. 15-26. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- OEPP/EPPO (1983) Data sheets on quarantine organisms No. 41, Trypetidae (non-European). *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin* **13**, (1).
- OEPP/EPPO (1990) Specific quarantine requirements. *EPPO Technical Documents* No. 1008.
- Roessler, Y. (1989) Control; insecticides; insecticidal bait and cover sprays. In: *World Crop Pests 3(B). Fruit flies; their biology, natural enemies and control* (Ed. by Robinson, A.S.; Hooper, G.), pp. 329-336. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- Sharp, J.L. (1987) Laboratory and field experiments to improve enzymatic casein hydrolysate as an arrestant and attractant for Caribbean fruit fly, *Anastrepha suspensa* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Florida Entomologist* **70**, 225-233.
- Steck, G.J.; Carroll, L.E.; Celedonio-Hurtado, H.; Guillen-Aguilar, J. (1990) Methods for identification of *Anastrepha* larvae (Diptera: Tephritidae), and key to 13 species. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* **92**, 333-346.
- USDA (1994) *Treatment manual*. USDA/APHIS, Frederick, USA.
- Whervin, L.W. van (1974) Some fruitflies (Tephritidae) in Jamaica. *PANS* **20**, 11-19.
- White, I.M.; Elson-Harris, M.M. (1992) *Fruit flies of economic significance, their identification and bionomics*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.